

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

ROSALIE ROJAS FIGUEROA,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

) CASE NO. 1:24-CV-282

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON

**MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND
ORDER**

[Regarding [ECF No. 12](#)]

On November 22, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Court affirm the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's applications for Disability Insurance Benefits, and Supplemental Security Income. See [ECF No. 12](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 \(1985\)](#); [United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 \(6th Cir. 1981\)](#). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. See [Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149](#).

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by December 6, 2024. None of the parties have filed any objections. Accordingly, the Court adopts the

(1:24-CV-282)

Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 12](#). The Court affirms the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff Disability Insurance Benefits, and Supplemental Security Income.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 19, 2025

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge